IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 16446 of 2004**

Between:

Ratnam Sundar Raju, S/o.Late Sri Ratna Swamy Mudaliar,
R/o.16/1278, Gandhinagar, Nellore - 1.

**..... PETITIONER**

AND

1 The State of A.P., rep.by its Prl. Secretary to Govt.,

Revenue (Endowments)Dept., Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner of Endowments, Tilak Road, Hyderabad.

**.....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of a writ of Mandamus directing the respondent to appoint the petitioner as Chairman of Sri Raja Rajeswari Ammavari Temple, Dargamitta, Nellore, as per the Circular and rotation fixed.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.V.RAJAGOPAL REDDY**

**Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR ENDOWMENTS**

**The Court at the stage of admission made the following:**

**HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE G.ROHINI**

WRIT PETITION NO.16446 OF 2004

**ORDER:**

The petitioner claims to be the member of the Founders Family of Sri Raja Rajeswari Ammavari Temple, Nellore. He states that his brother by name Sri Ratnam Jayaram was recognized as a member of the Founders Family of the temple, and he was appointed as a Chairman for a period of two years and is functioning as such till date and that his term expires by 23-09-2004. The petitioner also claims that as per the rotation system fixed by the respondents in circular No.40 of 1997 in Rc.No.L/36330/97 dated 04-10-1997, he is entitled to recognize as Chairman of the subject temple and therefore, he made representations dated 31-07-2004 and 05-09-2004 requesting the second respondent to pass appropriate orders.

**2.** The grievance of the petitioner is that the second respondent has not considered his request and no orders have been passed so far. Hence, this writ petition.

**3.** I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents.

**4.** Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion as to the entitlement of the petitioner to be appointed as Chairman of Board of Trustees of the subject temple, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the Writ Petition with a direction to the respondents to consider the representations of the petitioner dated 31-07-2004 and 05-09-2004 and to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

**5.** With the above directions, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

---

G.ROHINI, J

15th September, 2004

SKM

**Note:**

Furnish C.C.

within three days.

B/o.

skm

To

1 The Prl. Secretary to Govt., State of A.P.,

Revenue (Endowments) Dept., Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner of Endowments, Tilak Road, Hyderabad.

4 Two C.Cs. to G.P. for Endowments,

High Court Buildings,

High Court of A.P., Hyderabad, (OUT)

5 Two C.D. Copies.